

Three Dimensional Gauge Theory with Topological and Non-topological Mass: Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Analysis

Subir Ghosh ¹
Physics Department,
Dinabandhu Andrews College, Calcutta 700084,
India.

Abstract:

Three dimensional (abelian) gauged massive Thirring model is bosonized in the large fermion mass limit. A further integration of the gauge field results in a non-local theory. A truncated version of that is the Maxwell Chern Simons (MCS) theory with a conventional mass term or MCS Proca theory. This gauge invariant theory is completely solved in the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formalism, with the spectra of the modes determined. Since the vector field constituting the model is identified (via bosonization) to the fermion current, the charge current algebra, including the Schwinger term is also computed in the MCS Proca model.

¹Email address: jsubir@boson.bose.res.in

I. Introduction

It has been appreciated for quite sometime, that gauge symmetry in 2+1-dimensions is subtle, mainly due the Chern Simons term [1]. Self dual theory with the (non-topological) mass term is gauge invariant, being dual to the Maxwell Chern Simons (MCS) gauge theory. Chern Simons term is referred to as the topological mass term. A master lagrangian has been constructed [2] which can generate both the above mentioned models.

Including a non-topological mass term in the MCS model leads to the so called MCS Proca (MCSP) model [3]. This term does not break the gauge invariance since one can view the MCSP theory as a combination of self dual and Maxwell theory. The former is equivalent to a gauge theory and the latter is manifestly a gauge theory. A Lagrangian analysis was given in [3] where the spectra of two massive modes were provided. In this paper, a detailed Hamiltonian constraint analysis [4] is provided for the first time. It is shown that an involved analysis leads to identical spectra and equations of motion obtained via Lagrangian method. This is one of our main results. But there are additional benefits of Dirac analysis, which we elaborate below.

Let us now put the MCSP model, studied here, in its proper perspective. Our motivation in the above model is that it has been derived from a three dimensional $U(1)$ gauged massive Thirring model [5] via bosonization of the fermion modes, (in the large fermion mass limit) [1, 6]. The bosonic theory is a master Lagrangian, comprising of the $U(1)$ gauge field A_μ , and an auxiliary field B_μ , introduced to linearise the Thirring self interaction term. Integrating over B_μ leads to a generalized MCS model, which under certain approximations sheds light on the self interaction effects on inter-”quark” potential [7]. On the other hand, integration of the gauge field A_μ (in Lorentz gauge) yields a generalization of the MCSP model in B_μ . A truncated version of it is the MCSP model in question. The added bonus of this scheme is that B_μ reflects the behaviour of the fermion current $J_\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi$ since $J_\mu \equiv B_\mu/g$, g denoting the Thirring coupling. Indeed, we have correctly reproduced the *current conservation* and *current algebra* including the Schwinger term. More complicated fermionic composite objects can also be studied. This is the other important result of this paper.

The paper is organised as follows: Section II briefly gives the bosonization results of gauged Thirring model. Section III deals with the Lagrangian formulation, in a way similar to [3]. The particle spectra is obtained. Section IV is the main body of our work, consisting of the full Hamiltonian analysis and current algebra results. The paper ends with a brief conclusion in Section V.

II. Bosonization of gauged Thirring model

The $U(1)$ gauged Thirring model Lagrangian is,

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \bar{\psi}i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi + \frac{g}{2} |\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi|^2 - \frac{pe^2}{4} |A_{\mu\nu}|^2 + \frac{qe^2}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}A^\mu A^{\nu\lambda}. \quad (1)$$

Here $A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ and conventionally one takes $p = 1/e^2$, $q = \mu/(2e^2)$. The reason we have considered them arbitrary will become clear as we proceed. The above model is linearised

via the auxiliary field B_μ as

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu - ie A_\mu - i B_\mu) \psi - \frac{1}{2g} |B_\mu|^2 - m \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{pe^2}{4} |A_{\mu\nu}|^2 + \frac{qe^2}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} A^\mu A^{\nu\lambda}. \quad (2)$$

One loop bosonization in the large fermion mass limit yields the bosonic Lagrangian (to $O(1/m)$),

$$\mathcal{L}_B = -\frac{a}{4} C_{\mu\nu} C^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} C^\mu C^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2g} B_\mu B^\mu - \frac{pe^2}{4} A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} + \frac{qe^2}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} A^\mu A^{\nu\lambda}. \quad (3)$$

where $C_\mu = B_\mu + e A_\mu$, $\alpha = 1/(8\pi)$ and $a = -1/(6\pi m)$. The $U(1)$ gauge invariance present in (1) is clearly visible as regards the A_μ field. The A_μ (gauge) and B_μ ("matter") field equations are,

$$a \partial_\mu C^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu} + ep \partial_\mu A^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{eq}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (4)$$

$$a \partial_\mu C^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{g} B^\alpha = 0. \quad (5)$$

The above two equations are combined to give

$$\frac{1}{g} B^\alpha + ep \partial_\mu A^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{eq}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Notice that without the gauge field kinetic terms in the parent fermion model, we would have obtained simply $B_\mu = 0$.

The Lagrangian in (3) is our *master* Lagrangian [5]. Upon selective integration of one of the interacting fields in turn, different equivalent (dual) theories are reproduced which are apparently distinct. In this way, it is possible to connect different well known theories. The duality between them appears in the form of particle spectrum, symmetry, Green's function e.t.c.. The next task is to integrate out the gauge field.

III. Particle spectrum: Lagrangian framework

Modulo total derivative terms, A_μ integration in Lorentz gauge gives [5],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_B(B_\mu) = & B_\mu \frac{\frac{ap(a+p)}{8} \partial^2 + \frac{1}{2}(p\alpha^2 + q^2 a)}{(\frac{p+a}{2})^2 \partial^2 + (q+\alpha)^2} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) B_\nu \\ & + B_\mu \frac{\frac{(p^2\alpha + qa^2)}{4} \partial^2 + q\alpha(\alpha + q)}{(\frac{p+a}{2})^2 \partial^2 + (q+\alpha)^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} B_{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2g} B_\mu B^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The equation of motion for B_μ is

$$\frac{\frac{ap(a+p)}{8} \partial^2 + \frac{1}{2}(p\alpha^2 + q^2 a)}{(\frac{p+a}{2})^2 \partial^2 + (q+\alpha)^2} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) B_\nu$$

$$+ \frac{\frac{(p^2\alpha+qa^2)}{4}\partial^2 + q\alpha(\alpha+q)}{(\frac{p+a}{2})^2\partial^2 + (q+\alpha)^2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}B_{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2g}B^\mu. \quad (8)$$

Clearly B_μ obeys the current conservation, $(\partial_\mu B^\mu = 0)$, as is required of the fermion current. Defining the dual of B_μ as

$$*B_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}B^{\nu\lambda},$$

we obtain two equations and the Lagrangian in (3) in compact notation as,

$$\mathcal{A}(*B^\alpha) - \mathcal{D}\partial^2 B^\alpha = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}(B^\alpha) + \mathcal{D}(*B^\alpha) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_B(B_\mu) = B_\mu \mathcal{A}B^\mu + B_\mu \mathcal{D}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu B_\lambda.$$

The non-local operators are,

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \frac{\frac{ap(a+p)}{8}\partial^2 + \frac{1}{2}(p\alpha^2 + q^2a)}{(\frac{p+a}{2})^2\partial^2 + (q+\alpha)^2}\partial^2 - \frac{1}{2g}, \quad (10)$$

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv 2\frac{\frac{(p^2\alpha+qa^2)}{4}\partial^2 + q\alpha(\alpha+q)}{(\frac{p+a}{2})^2\partial^2 + (q+\alpha)^2}. \quad (11)$$

Combining the above equations in (9), we get

$$(\mathcal{A}^2 + \mathcal{D}^2\partial^2)B^\alpha = 0. \quad (12)$$

Unfortunately the complicated nature of the operators prohibit further study of the field equation. Let us now consider the approximations we mentioned before.

Keeping within the approximations involved in the bosonization scheme itself we drop $O(a^2)$ terms. However, with a nonvanishing p , (that is in presence of the Maxwell term in (1)), the non-local nature of the effective theory persists. In the present case we avoid this problem by putting $p = 0$ and keep only the Chern Simons term in (1). The operators now become,

$$\mathcal{A} \approx (\frac{q^2a}{2(q+\alpha)^2}\partial^2 - \frac{1}{2g}), \quad \mathcal{D} \approx \frac{2q\alpha}{q+\alpha}. \quad (13)$$

Hence the B_μ equation reduces to

$$[(\frac{q^2a}{2(q+\alpha)^2}\partial^2 - \frac{1}{2g})^2 + (\frac{2q\alpha}{q+\alpha})^2\partial^2]B^\alpha = 0. \quad (14)$$

The above equation is "factorised" in the following form [3],

$$(\partial^2 + M_+^2)(\partial^2 + M_-^2)B^\alpha = 0. \quad (15)$$

The two values of the effective mass parameter are

$$M_\pm^2 = \frac{(q+\alpha)^2}{q^2a}[\frac{8\alpha^2}{a} - \frac{1}{g} \pm 4\alpha(\frac{4\alpha^2}{a^2} - \frac{1}{ag})^{\frac{1}{2}}]. \quad (16)$$

Substituting the local expressions for \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{D} , we arrive at the MCSP model by neglecting $O(a^2)$ terms but in the above analysis we have not dropped $O(a^2)$ terms. There is no contradiction here since now we are studying the MCSP model as such, forgetting how it was originated in the first place. However, if we persist with $a^2 \approx 0$ in (14), we end up with a single massive mode,

$$(\partial^2 + M^2)B^\alpha = 0, \quad M^2 \approx \frac{(q + \alpha)^2}{16q^2\alpha^2g^2} \left(1 + \frac{a}{8\alpha^2g}\right).$$

This concludes the Lagrangian analysis of the MCSP model.

IV. Particle spectrum: Hamiltonian framework

We start with the MCSP lagrangian, using (13),

$$\mathcal{L} = PB_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} + Q\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}B^\mu\partial^\nu B^\lambda + RB_\mu B^\mu. \quad (17)$$

where,

$$P = \frac{q^2a}{4(q + \alpha)^2}, \quad Q = \frac{2q\alpha}{q + \alpha}, \quad R = -\frac{1}{2g}.$$

The conjugate momenta and the canonical Hamiltonian are defined in the standard way,

$$\Pi_\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{B}^\mu}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \Pi^\mu \dot{B}_\mu - \mathcal{L}. \quad (18)$$

Explicit expressions for the above are,

$$\Pi_0 = 0, \quad \Pi_i = -4P(\partial_i B_0 - \dot{B}^i) - Q\epsilon_{ij}B_j, \quad (19)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{8P}(\Pi + Q\epsilon_{ij}B_j)^2 + B_0(\partial_i \Pi_i - Q\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i B_j) - PB_{ij}B_{ij} - RB_\mu B^\mu. \quad (20)$$

We now perform the constraint analysis by obtaining the constraints and subsequently computing the Dirac brackets. Our aim is to obtain the equations of motion of the modes and reproduce the spectra obtained in (16). The primary constraint is

$$\Psi_1(x) \equiv \Pi_0(x) \approx 0, \quad (21)$$

and time persistence generates the secondary constraint

$$\Psi_2(x) \equiv \dot{\Psi}_1(x) = [\Psi_1(x), \int d^2y \mathcal{H}(y)] = \partial_i \Pi_i(x) - 2RB_0(x) - Q\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i B_j(x) \approx 0. \quad (22)$$

These brackets are obtained by using the fundamental Poisson brackets

$$[\Pi^\mu(x), B_\nu(y)] = g^\mu_\nu \delta(x - y).$$

The constraints constitute a second class pair with the non-trivial algebra,

$$[\Psi_1, \Psi_1] = [\Psi_2, \Psi_2] = 0, \quad [\Psi_1(x), \Psi_2(y)] = 2R\delta(x - y). \quad (23)$$

The inverse of the constraint matrix Ψ_{ij} , defined by $\int d^2y C_{ij}(x, y) \Psi_{jk}(y, z) = g_{ik} \delta(x - z)$ has the non zero element

$$C_{12}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2R} \delta(x - y).$$

This generates the nontrivial Dirac brackets

$$[B_0(x), B_i(y)] = \frac{1}{2R} \partial_i \delta(x - y), \quad [B_0(x), \Pi_i(y)] = -\frac{Q}{2R} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j \delta(x - y). \quad (24)$$

Rest of the brackets are not altered. From now on we will always use these Dirac Brackets. The $B_0 - B_i$ bracket recovers the correct Schwinger term in the fermion current algebra,

$$[J_0(x), J_0(y)] = [J_i(x), J_j(y)] = 0, \quad [J_0(x), J_i(y)] = -\frac{1}{g} \partial_i \delta(x - y). \quad (25)$$

After strong implementation of the constraints \mathcal{H} in (20) simplifies to

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{8P} (\Pi_i + Q \epsilon_{ij} B_j)^2 - P B_{ij}^2 + R(B_0^2 + B_i^2). \quad (26)$$

Time derivative of B_0 reproduces the current conservation,

$$\dot{B}_0(x) = [B_0(x), H] = \partial_i B_i(x). \quad (27)$$

The above current algebra and conservation equation are two of our main results. Note that the Dirac brackets are crucial in recovering them. We now rederive the particle spectrum.

First we compute the following time derivatives,

$$\dot{B}_i = \frac{1}{4P} (\Pi_i + Q \epsilon_{ij} B_j) + \partial_i B_0, \quad (28)$$

$$\dot{\Pi}_i = -\frac{Q}{4P} (\epsilon_{ji} \Pi_j + Q B_i) - 4P \partial_j B_{ij} - Q \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j B_0 + 2R B_i. \quad (29)$$

We take time derivatives of the above equations,

$$\ddot{B}_i = \frac{1}{4P} (\dot{\Pi}_i + Q \epsilon_{ij} \dot{B}_j) + \partial_i \dot{B}_0,$$

$$\ddot{\Pi}_i = -\frac{Q}{4P} (\epsilon_{ji} \dot{\Pi}_j + Q \dot{B}_i) - 4P \partial_j \dot{B}_{ij} - Q \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j \dot{B}_0 + 2R \dot{B}_i.$$

A long algebra yields the following set of equations,

$$[\partial^2 - \frac{1}{2P} (R - \frac{Q^2}{4P})] B_i = \frac{Q}{8P^2} \epsilon_{ij} \Pi_j, \quad (30)$$

$$[\partial^2 - \frac{1}{2P} (R - \frac{Q^2}{4P})] \Pi_i = -2Q \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j (\partial_k B_k) + \frac{Q}{2P} (2R - \frac{Q^2}{4P}) \epsilon_{ij} B_j + 2Q \epsilon_{ik} \partial_j \partial_j B_k - 2Q \partial_i (\epsilon_{jk} \partial_j B_k). \quad (31)$$

The constraints have been used strongly. The same operator arising in left hand side of both the above equations is used once again and we get

$$[\partial^2 - \frac{1}{2P} (R - \frac{Q^2}{4P})]^2 B_i = -\frac{Q^2}{16P^3} (2R - \frac{Q^2}{4P}) B_i. \quad (32)$$

The identical equation appears for Π_i as well. This chain of derivatives diagonalizes the equations of motion. Factorising (32), we obtain the *identical* set expressions for M_{\pm} given in (16). This concludes the Hamiltonian analysis.

Substituting the known expressions we get the explicit forms of the masses,

$$M_{\pm}^2 = \frac{24\pi e^4 m}{\mu^2} \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{\mu}{2e^2} \right)^2 \left[\frac{3m}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{g} \pm \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{9m^2}{4} + \frac{6\pi m}{g} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \quad (33)$$

Expanding the square root in powers of $(1/m)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_+^2 &\approx \frac{48\pi e^4 m}{\mu^2} \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{\mu}{2e^2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{3m}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{g} \right), \\ M_-^2 &\approx \frac{16\pi^2 e^4}{\mu^2 g^2} \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{\mu}{2e^2} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Interestingly, $M_+ \gg M_-$ since M_- is *independent* of the fermion mass m , the large parameter. Since spin of the particles is determined by the sign of the mass [1, 3], the small value of M_- can lead to a spinless particle.

V. Conclusions

Hamiltonian and Lagrangian of the MCS Proca model is performed, with the full spectra of modes revealed. The interest in the model lies in the fact that the model has been derived from the bosonized version of a $U(1)$ gauged massive Thirring model. Since the bosonic vector field and the fermion current are identified, the bosonized model, and in turn the MCS Proca model, yields properties of its fermion counterpart. As a non-trivial application of the above, we have computed correctly the fermion current algebra, with the Schwinger term, staying in the MCS Proca model framework. Behaviour of other fermionic composite objects, constructed from fermion currents, can also be studied in the MCS Proca model, where the quantum effects enter via the process of bosonization.

Acknowledgment: I am grateful to Professor S. Dutta Gupta, Director, S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Calcutta, for allowing me to use the Institution facilities.

References

- [1] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 975; Ann. Phys. 140 (1982) 372.
- [2] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, Phys. Lett. 139B (1984) 371.
- [3] S. K. Paul and A. Khare, Phys. Lett. 171B (1986) 244.
- [4] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Yeshiva University Press, New York, 1964.
- [5] S. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 045014; Hep-TH/9901051.
- [6] K.-I. Kondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 94(1995) 889; N. Banerjee, R. Banerjee and S. Ghosh, Nucl. Phys. B481(1006) 421.
- [7] E. Abdalla and R. Banerjee, Phys. Rev. Lett 80(1998) 238; S. Ghosh, Preprint *Self interaction effects on screening in three dimensional QED*, (communicated).